

2017 JUDICIAL EVALUATION SURVEY

As part of your SCBA membership your input is needed and appreciated.

EVALUATION RESULTS

In order to ensure statistical reliability in the results, a particular judge's results will not be published unless 30 evaluations are cast for that particular judge. Membership participation is essential for a fair and meaningful evaluation. Further, membership participation in this evaluation will determine if future Judicial Evaluations are taken.

WHO CAN PARTICIPATE

All SCBA members who are licensed to practice law in Washington State and are members in good standing (i.e., pay their dues) with SCBA and WSBA.

HOW TO PARTICIPATE

Please provide ratings for **only those jurists** whom you have professionally appeared before. Please see the 2017 Judicial Evaluation Survey Instructions.

ENVELOPE PROCESS

The survey shall be filled out by the member but there shall not be any identification of the member on the survey itself; the survey shall then be placed in a sealed envelope marked "Survey" that contains no identification from the member; the "Survey" envelope shall be placed in another envelope on which the member must identify himself or herself by their printed name and their personal signature. The completed surveys shall be returned as specified in this notice. An impartial person selected by the Bar Association officers shall remove the "Survey" envelopes from the outer envelopes.

The identifying envelope containing the survey envelope shall be sent to the Snohomish County Bar Association, PO Box 5429, Everett, WA 98206. It can also be dropped off at the SCBA office located on the 2nd floor of the courthouse, Room #C203.

All surveys, whether mailed or delivered, must be received by the SCBA office on or before
Thursday, October 12, 2017, 5:00 p.m.

2017 JUDICIAL EVALUATION SURVEY INSTRUCTIONS

Please evaluate the judges you have professionally appeared before on these five dimensions:

- Decision Making
- Efficiency
- Demeanor
- Impartiality
- Overall Performance

Each dimension shall be evaluated using the following scale:

(1) Unsatisfactory (2) Less than satisfactory (3) Satisfactory (4) More than satisfactory (5) Excellent.

The following factors should go into evaluating each dimension.

When evaluating DECISION MAKING, consider whether the judge

- Is appropriately prepared for court.
- Capably identifies and analyzes factual and legal issues.
- Capably applies rules of evidence and procedure.
- Articulates a ruling and grounds for it in a clear and concise manner.

When evaluating EFFICIENCY, consider whether the judge

- Efficiently uses court time.
- Enforces court rules and deadlines fairly.
- Controls the courtroom appropriately.
- Renders timely decision.

In evaluating DEMEANOR, consider whether the judge

- Treats all participants courteously and respectfully.
- Acted with patience and self control
- Used clear oral communications while in Court

In evaluating IMPARTIALITY, consider whether the judge

- Performs without bias or prejudice.
- Avoids behavior that creates an appearance of bias or prejudice (e.g., inappropriate contacts with parties/counsel).

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

- The overall performance of this individual considering all of the attributes above.

Scale for evaluations:

(1) Unsatisfactory (2) Less than satisfactory (3) Satisfactory (4) More than satisfactory (5) Excellent.

Snohomish County Superior Court

	Decision Making	Efficiency	Demeanor	Impartiality	Overall Performance
Judge G. Appel					
Judge G. Bowden					
Judge M. Dingley					
Judge M. Downes					
Judge J. Ellis					
Judge E. Fair					
Judge A. Farris					
Judge M. Judge					
Judge L. Krese					
Judge D. Kurtz					
Judge C. Larsen					
Judge E. Lucas					
Judge R. Okrent					
Judge B. Weiss					
Judge J. Wilson					
Comm. J. Brudvik					
Comm. S. Gaer					
Comm. L. Stewart					
Comm. L. Tinney					
Comm. T. Waggoner					

Scale for evaluations:

(1) Unsatisfactory (2) Less than satisfactory (3) Satisfactory (4) More than satisfactory (5) Excellent.

Snohomish County District Courts

	Decision Making	Efficiency	Demeanor	Impartiality	Overall Performance
Judge T. Bui					
Judge S. Clough					
Judge D. Fair					
Judge B. Fraser					
Judge J. Goodwin					
Judge A. Howard					
Judge P. Lyon					
Judge K. Olbrechts					
Comm. R. Leo					

Scale for evaluations:

(1) Unsatisfactory (2) Less than satisfactory (3) Satisfactory (4) More than satisfactory (5) Excellent.

Municipal Courts of Snohomish County

	Decision Making	Efficiency	Demeanor	Impartiality	Overall Performance
Judge L. Coburn					
Judge M. Gehlsen					
Judge F. Gillings					
Judge S. Moore					
Judge M. Rozzano					
Judge L. Towers					
Judge L. Van Slyck					